March 13, 2015 (CORRECTED)

KY Public Service Commission

211 Sower Blvd.

Frankfort, KY 40601

Re: Cases 2014-00371 and 2014-00372

Dear Sirs,

This letter is to register my opposition to the proposed Kentucky Utilities rate increase of 67% to the monthly fixed customer charge. This exorbitant increase does not comply with PSC Chairman David Armstrong's criteria of being "fair, just and reasonable". To use revenue generated from services provided for its customers for other building projects is an abuse of the regulatory structure. The building projects should be something the paying customers should be given full disclosure and timelines before these projects were in progress, and be allowed to influence these decisions, and propose efficient, economical solutions to expediting these projects.

In addition to residential customers being effected by a rate increase, this will deter any company considering doing business with our city and/or our state from locating here. In essence, this exorbitant rate increase will be the deal-killer denying our community potential jobs and improving the quality of life our community could provide.

No one gets a 67% increase in our wages earned; our increases are based on the current Consumer Price Index (CPI). It seems that a utility increase should fall in line with the current CPI rate as well. The 67% increase will have detrimental effects on KU's paying customers

The customers you service do not live a privileged lifestyle are always conserving energy, as your company suggests in the "newsletter" that is inserted in every monthly bill that we receive. We expect that your company is doing its share of conserving in its operational business practices as well. These expectations are not one-sided.

I vehemently protest KU's request for a 67% rate increase and suggest that the company consider the current CPI rate if an increase is absolutely necessary.

Sincerely,

Donnad: Jogle

Donna L. Fogle 504 Wellington Gardens Drive Lexington, KY 40503

3/16/2015 Please dis regard my previous letter. I left out the word "not" in the 1st paragraph and that could change the intent of my letter. Thanks of

RECEIVED

MAR 1 8 2015 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION